

KILLWORTH ET AL

エアプロ・マリン CENTRAL FAX CENTER NOV 1 2 2003



DINSMORE

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

from JAMES F. GOTTMAN

November 12, 2003

Direct: 937-449-6403 / Fax: 937-223-0724 / james.gottman@direslaw.com

To:

Examiner Olga Hernandez

Firm:

Patent and Trademark Office

Fax Number:

703-305-7687

Client Number:

SPF 0002 PA/41105.6

Pages:

3

(including cover)

Comments:

If there are any problems in receiving this transmission, please call Melody Tolliver at (937) 223-2050 immediately. Thank you.

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATION

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATION

Applicant

: Lars Ericsson

Serial No.

: 09/341,101

Filed

: August 18, 1999

Title

: DEVICE AND METHOD FOR DETERMINING THE POSITION OF A

WORKING PART

Docket No.

: SPF 0002 PA/41105.6/ former Attorney Docket SPB 0004 PA

Examiner '

: Olga Hernandez

Art Unit

: 3661

Confirmation: 4745

Notice

This message is intended only for the use of the individuals or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this notice is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this notice in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return these papers to us at the address below via first class mail.

Ø 002/003

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATION

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of

Applicant

: Lars Ericsson

Serial No.

: 09/341.101

Filed

: August 18, 1999

Title

: DEVICE AND METHOD FOR DETERMINING THE POSITION OF A WORKING PART

Docket No. : SPF 0002 PA / former Attorney Docket SPB 0004 PA

Examiner

: Olga Hernandez

Art Unit Confirmation: 4745

: 3661

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office at fax no. ((703) 305-7687) on November 12, 2003.

Reg. No. 27262

Sir:

Director of the United States Patent and **Trademark Office** Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

COMMUNICATION

This paper is being filed in response to the Office Action dated October 7, 2003, in the identified application, having a reply due date of January 7, 2004. The Office Action was made FINAL. It is believed that this was premature for the reasons given below, and it is respectfully requested that the finality of the Office Action of October 7, 2003 be withdrawn.

A REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION was filed in the present application on February 17, 2003. Subsequently, on May 8, 2003, a First Office Action, subsequent to the RCE, was mailed to applicant. Applicant's attorney, Mr. Thomas E. Lees, noted that the Office Action of May 8, 2003, although indicating that claim 16 was rejected on the Office Action Summary form (PTO-326), provided no basis for the

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATION
Attorney Docket No. SPF 0002 PA / former SPB 0004 PA
Serial No. - 09/341,101

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATION

rejection. Mr. Lees then contacted the Examiner by telephone on July 10, 2003, and pointed out to the Examiner the failure of the First Office Action to treat the patentability of claim 16 (as well as claims 13 and 17). Mr. Lees sought clarification from the Examiner as to the status of these three claims. The Examiner indicated to Mr. Lees that the applicant should respond to the Office Action as if the above claims were objected to, and if the arguments presented by the applicant were not found persuasive, then the Examiner would issue a second, non-final action. Mr. Lees included a summary of this interview in applicant's response to the First Office Action.

In the Office Action of October 7, 2003, the Examiner has now rejected claim 16 as anticipated by Gudat et al (WO 95/28524). In view of the fact that this is <u>first time</u> that a reason has been given for the rejection of claim 16, and further in view of the <u>agreement reached with the Examiner</u> that the applicant should respond to the Office Action as if claim 16 were objected to and if the arguments presented by the applicant were not found persuasive, then the Examiner would issue a second, non-final action, it is submitted that the Office Action of October 7, 2003 not properly made final. It is requested that the finality of the Office Action of October 7, 2003 be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted, Dinsmore & Shohl LLP

James F. Gottman Reg. No. 2726

One Dayton Centre
One South Main Street, Suite 500
Dayton, Ohio 45402-2023
Telephone: (937) 223-2050
Facsimile: (937) 223-0724